

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

GARY OWENS,)	8:14CV8
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	MEMORANDUM
)	AND ORDER
WILLIAM ZASTERA, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

This matter is before the court on Plaintiff's Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss. (Filing No. [5](#).) Pursuant to [Fed. R. Civ. P. 41](#), a plaintiff may dismiss an action by filing a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment, or by filing a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared. [Fed. R. Civ. P. 41\(a\)\(1\)](#). In addition, a court may grant a request for voluntary dismissal "on terms that the court considers proper." [Fed. R. Civ. P. 41\(a\)\(2\)](#).

Plaintiff filed his Complaint in this matter on January 13, 2014 (filing no. [1](#)), and his Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss on January 16, 2014 (filing no. [5](#)). In his Motion, Plaintiff asks the court to dismiss this matter because he "got his legal matters resolved in Sarpy County Court." (Filing No. [5](#).) Defendants have not yet been served with Plaintiff's Complaint. (*See* Docket Sheet.) In light of this, Plaintiff's Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss is granted in accordance with [Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41\(a\)](#) and this matter is dismissed without prejudice.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's Motion to Voluntarily Dismiss (filing no. [5](#)) is granted and this matter is dismissed without prejudice.

2. Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis (filing no. [2](#)) is denied.

3. A separate judgment will be entered in accordance with this Memorandum and Order.

DATED this 27th day of January, 2014.

BY THE COURT:

s/ John M. Gerrard
United States District Judge

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.